

[4B.04] CORRELATION OF TARGET ORGAN DAMAGE WITH CENTRAL BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS IN DIABETIC AND PRE-DIABETIC PATIENTS

A. Oliveras¹, J. Segura², C. Suarez³, M. Gomez-Marcos⁴, I. Garcia-Ortiz⁴, N. Martell-Claros⁵, M. Abad⁵, L. Vigil⁶, D. Ferrero⁶, S. Vazquez¹, J.J. De La Cruz⁷, L.M. Ruilope², A. De La Sierra⁸, on Behalf of the Prescena Study, Spain. ¹Hospital del Mar, Barcelona-Spain; ²Hospital 12 de Octubre, Madrid-Spain; ³Hosp. La Princesa, Madrid-Spain; ⁴Centro Salud La Alamedilla, Salamanca-Spain; ⁵Hospital Clínico San Carlos, Madrid-Spain; ⁶Hospital Univ. de Móstoles, Móstoles-Spain; ⁷Univ. Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid-Spain; ⁸Hosp. Mútua de Terrassa, Terrassa-Spain

Objective: To determine the possible associations of central (c) blood pressure measurements with subclinical target organ damage in diabetic and pre-diabetic patients.

Design and Methods: Cross-sectional study in subjects with type 2 DM or Metabolic Syndrome (MS). Laboratory analyses, EKG and central BP by radial artery applanation tonometry (Sphygmocor®) were recorded. Microalbuminuria (MA) was defined as urinary albumin excretion (UAE) >22mg/g (M) or >31mg/g (F). Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) was diagnosed if Sokolow-Lyon index >38 mm, Cornell index >2440 mm*ms or Cornell voltage >28 (M) or >20 (F) mm. Impaired renal function was defined by plasma creatinine >1.2mg/dL (F) or >1.3 mg/dL (M) and/or estimated glomerular filtration rate <60 mL/min/1.73m². Arterial stiffness was defined by pulse wave velocity (PWV) >12m/s.

Results: 506 patients (diabetics: 75%; age: 64 ± 10y; 38% F) were recruited from Spanish Hypertension Units. Other risk factors: hypertension: 91%; dyslipidemia: 72%; smokers: 14%. Prevalence of: MA 24.7%; LVH 14.5%; impaired renal function 36.7%; arterial stiffness 23.8%. Central blood pressure was significantly higher (age- and sex-adjusted) in patients with MA with respect to those without (130 ± 2.2 vs 123.8 ± 1.2; p = 0.013 for cSBP) and (51.5 ± 1.7 vs 47.1 ± 0.9; p = 0.025 for cPP) and in patients with arterial stiffness with respect to those without (139.2 ± 2 vs 125.6 ± 1.1; p < 0.001 for cSBP), (83.7 ± 1.3 vs 79.7 ± 0.7; p = 0.006 for cDBP), (55.4 ± 1.5 vs 46.3 ± 0.8; p < 0.001 for cPP), and (17.6 ± 0.8 vs 15.1 ± 0.5; p = 0.010 for augmentation P), whereas it was significantly lower in those with impaired renal function (124.1 ± 1.7 vs 129.7 ± 1.2; p = 0.015 for cSBP) and (77.4 ± 1.1 vs 81.6 ± 0.8; p = 0.003 for cDBP). No differences were observed in patients with or without EKG-LVH. cPP correlated with Sokolow-Lyon index (r = 0.393; p = 0.043), UAE (r = 0.451; p = 0.033), and PWV (r = 0.471; p < 0.001); cSBP correlated with UAE (r = 0.295; p = 0.003), Cornell index (r = 0.147; p = 0.032) and PWV (r = 0.350; p < 0.001) and cDBP correlated with UAE (r = 0.235; p = 0.021), Cornell index (r = 0.324; p = 0.017), serum creatinine (r = 0.325; p = 0.002) and PWV (r = 0.393; p < 0.001).

Logistic regression analyses showed independent associations of: cSBP (for a 10 mmHg increase) with MA [1.26 (1.03-1.54); p = 0.027] and PWV: [1.95 (1.57–2.43); p < 0.001]; cPP (for a 10 mmHg increase) with LVH [0.25 (0.07–0.91); p = 0.036] and augmentation pressure (for a 5 mmHg increase) with impaired renal function [0.78 (0.69–0.87); p < 0.001].

Conclusion: In diabetic and pre-diabetic subjects, central-SBP and central-PP are independently associated with subclinical target organ damage.

Citation: Oliveras A., Segura J., Suarez C., Gomez-Marcos M., Garcia-Ortiz I., Martell-Claros N., Abad M., Vigil L., Ferrero D., Vazquez S., Cruz J.J. De La, Ruilope L.M., Sierra A. De La, CORRELATION OF TARGET ORGAN DAMAGE WITH CENTRAL BLOOD PRESSURE MEASUREMENTS IN DIABETIC AND PRE-DIABETIC PATIENTS, *Journal of Hypertension*, Vol 29, e-Supplement A, June 2011, e51

Date: Sunday, June 19, 2011

Session Info: ORAL SESSION 4B: CLINICAL ASPECTS

[Close Window](#)